
JPF Evaluation Framework (Evaluation Standards · Evaluation Criteria) 1/2

| | Evaluation Criteria ———————————————————————————————————— | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| Evaluation Standards ———————————————————————————————————— | | CHS1 Relevance (&Cover) | CHS2 Effectiveness (&Cover) | CHS3 Impact, Sustainability (Connectedness) | CHS6 Cover Coherence |
| | A Score 6~7 | Project is appropriate and relevant | Project achieves timely output and/or outcome indicators | Project strengthens local capacities and avoids negative effects | Project coordinate and it is complementary |
| | B Score 4~5 | Project is some extent appropriate and relevant | Project is some extent achieves timely output and/or outcome indicators | Project some extent strengthens local capacities and avoids negative effects | Some extent project coordinate and it is complementary |
| | C Score 0~3 点 | Project is not appropriate and relevant | | Project does not strengthens local capacities and avoids from gative effects and period to enable the meta anal grams. | |



参考:CHSとDACの関係性

(出典:2018,p.79「Quality and Accountability Compass」Group URD OECD-DAC CRITERIA SUSTAINABILITY EFFICIENCY **CHS QUALITY CRITERIA** 1. Humanitarian response is appropriate and relevant 2. Humanitarian response is effective and timely 3. Humanitarian response strengthens local capacities and avoids negative effects 4. Humanitarian response is based on communication, participation and feedback 5. Complaints are welcomed and addressed 6. Humanitarian response is coordinated and complementary 7. Humanitarian actors continuously learn and improve 8. Staff are supported to do their job effectively, and are treated fairly and equitably 9. Resources are managed and used responsibly for their intended purpose Fully relevant to that particular OECD-DAC criteria Kev Partly relevant. No specific relevance.